

SEED FUND REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 1

	0	1	2	3	4
The urban residential setting or built environment	Irrelavent	Mostly Irrevlavent	Relevance is Debatable	Mostly Relevant	Directly Relevant
Improve environmental or social conditions	Absolutely Will Not	Doesn't Seem it Will	Maybe Will	Probably Will	Absolutely Will
Potential to make a difference in the real world	None at all	Probably not	Not sure	For the most part	Full Potential
Seed Fund Dependence- This project:	Can be completed entirely utilizing University resources	May need some external funds but can mostly be done utilizing University resources	Can be started utilizing University Resources, but cannot be completed	May utilize some University resources but needs mostly external funding	Cannot be started utilizing existing University Resources

SEED FUND REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 2

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Not Sure	Agree	Strongly Agree
Project is feasible in terms of difficulty, timeline and cost	1	2	3	4	5
Project is practical and applied	1	2	3	4	5
Project is unique and original	1	2	3	4	5
Project either differs or improves upon existing technology	1	2	3	4	5
There is a market for this project	1	2	3	4	5